

Flight Test in Complex Domain The Human Performance Challenge

1

00:00:00.240 --> 00:00:04.071

speaker. We have to thank Jeff. Jeff, please come.

2

00:00:05.180 --> 00:00:08.370

Because on short notice, you replaced

3

00:00:09.400 --> 00:00:13.180

Steve, that couldn't make it for his presentation.

4

00:00:14.480 --> 00:00:18.300

I think probably everybody knows Jeff, but just in

5

00:00:18.360 --> 00:00:21.420

case, I will say that you're a US

6

00:00:22.300 --> 00:00:23.080

Navy captain,

7

00:00:23.900 --> 00:00:24.240

retired.

8

00:00:24.340 --> 00:00:24.800

Retired.

9

00:00:24.920 --> 00:00:27.400

Yeah. You were anyways, but you never

10

00:00:28.440 --> 00:00:30.060

stop being a US Navy captain.

11

00:00:31.220 --> 00:00:32.180

And you worked for

12

00:00:33.720 --> 00:00:37.700

Lockheed Martin, on various programs, including the F-35,

13

00:00:38.340 --> 00:00:38.460

so

14

00:00:39.320 --> 00:00:40.040
great background.

15
00:00:41.000 --> 00:00:44.860
And I would say more importantly for today's presentation, you're also
the

16
00:00:47.520 --> 00:00:48.700
boss of SFT.

17
00:00:50.120 --> 00:00:53.120
I wanted to say the president of SFT, and I think

18
00:00:53.180 --> 00:00:57.000
that's-- Okay, it says here, flight test in the complex

19
00:00:57.040 --> 00:00:58.720
domain, human performance challenge.

20
00:00:58.880 --> 00:01:02.160
So it's going to be about human performance and

21
00:01:03.360 --> 00:01:05.760
training, I guess also. Floor is yours.

22
00:01:06.220 --> 00:01:06.560
Thank you.

23
00:01:07.780 --> 00:01:09.060
It's great to be here.

24
00:01:09.960 --> 00:01:12.830
I'm really lucky to fill in right now, today,

25
00:01:13.520 --> 00:01:17.130
because everything we've heard today, I'm kind of like a

26
00:01:17.340 --> 00:01:21.220
cleanup hitter for what we had, and I'm going to just bring

27
00:01:21.300 --> 00:01:23.360

that to the forefront again.

28

00:01:25.680 --> 00:01:25.820

So

29

00:01:27.120 --> 00:01:30.880

flight testing is undergoing a shift with increasing

30

00:01:31.220 --> 00:01:35.160

complexity. We're moving from evaluating how humans control

31

00:01:35.260 --> 00:01:35.860

aircraft

32

00:01:36.760 --> 00:01:39.680

to how humans supervise aircraft,

33

00:01:40.580 --> 00:01:43.740

and in some cases, with AI making the decisions.

34

00:01:45.120 --> 00:01:47.360

And that shift has three consequences.

35

00:01:48.220 --> 00:01:48.560

First,

36

00:01:49.600 --> 00:01:53.180

risk increasingly emerges from interactions across

37

00:01:53.260 --> 00:01:55.700

systems rather than

38

00:01:56.060 --> 00:01:59.940

component behavior or component failures, as we

39

00:01:59.980 --> 00:02:03.660

heard earlier. Second, our analysis methods and tools

40

00:02:04.400 --> 00:02:08.301

are and must evolve so we can understand these system

41

00:02:08.361 --> 00:02:09.100
interactions.

42

00:02:10.581 --> 00:02:11.120
And third,

43

00:02:12.200 --> 00:02:14.980
the roles within flight test are changing.

44

00:02:15.980 --> 00:02:19.220
Test pilots are sometimes, maybe often now,

45

00:02:19.360 --> 00:02:22.540
transitioning from actuators to supervisors.

46

00:02:23.700 --> 00:02:26.650
And the role of the flight test engineer is

47

00:02:26.680 --> 00:02:30.200
expanding. They're placing greater

48

00:02:30.220 --> 00:02:33.450
importance on FTE capability.

49

00:02:34.540 --> 00:02:38.230
Now, the need for competent flight testers has

50

00:02:38.300 --> 00:02:42.240
always been there. That's not new, but with the

51

00:02:42.280 --> 00:02:43.790
increasing complexity,

52

00:02:44.720 --> 00:02:47.620
gaps in capability become more

53

00:02:47.660 --> 00:02:49.900
consequential and more visible,

54

00:02:51.040 --> 00:02:53.060

and that's the thread I want to talk about today.

55

00:03:02.240 --> 00:03:06.170

Across programs today, system behavior is increasingly defined in

56

00:03:06.200 --> 00:03:08.980

software. That's not news to any of us here.

57

00:03:09.020 --> 00:03:12.900

Not just augmentation, but control logic,

58

00:03:13.300 --> 00:03:14.269

decision-making,

59

00:03:15.080 --> 00:03:18.540

and mission execution, and that changes where

60

00:03:18.580 --> 00:03:22.100

risk lives. It often emerges from

61

00:03:22.180 --> 00:03:23.360

software interaction,

62

00:03:24.720 --> 00:03:28.000

automation logic, and system integration.

63

00:03:33.180 --> 00:03:36.790

Traditionally, much of flight test evaluated

64

00:03:36.820 --> 00:03:40.230

pilot aircraft interactions, and we asked,

65

00:03:40.690 --> 00:03:44.560

"Can the pilot control the aircraft safely and

66

00:03:44.580 --> 00:03:45.250

effectively?"

67

00:03:46.260 --> 00:03:48.160

Today, that emphasis is shifting.

68

00:03:51.760 --> 00:03:55.280

We are increasingly evaluating how humans supervise

69

00:03:55.340 --> 00:03:57.640

systems that control the aircraft.

70

00:03:58.230 --> 00:04:01.950

Automation executes control laws, shape the

71

00:04:02.020 --> 00:04:05.700

behavior, and AI may make decisions.

72

00:04:06.730 --> 00:04:09.920

Test control is no longer confined to the cockpit.

73

00:04:10.340 --> 00:04:13.430

In some scenarios, the FTE directs and

74

00:04:13.520 --> 00:04:15.640

controls the test maneuver.

75

00:04:16.560 --> 00:04:19.620

Boeing has given talks about automated test maneuvers.

76

00:04:19.981 --> 00:04:23.880

I just recently visited Embraer in Brazil, and they do the

77

00:04:23.960 --> 00:04:25.920

same thing with the test conductor

78

00:04:26.880 --> 00:04:30.580

executing those maneuvers and the pilot serving as a safety

79

00:04:30.650 --> 00:04:31.100

observer.

80

00:04:32.260 --> 00:04:36.170

In others, particularly UAV operations, the evaluation

81

00:04:36.200 --> 00:04:39.080

is run by a test conductor from a control room.

82

00:04:39.700 --> 00:04:41.740

Sometimes there isn't even a pilot on the team.

83

00:04:42.640 --> 00:04:46.310

So the pilot isn't always the actuator, and the cockpit is not

84

00:04:46.380 --> 00:04:49.900

always the center of control. In many cases,

85

00:04:50.520 --> 00:04:51.320

the system is,

86

00:04:52.160 --> 00:04:55.640

and that changes the test problem for these

87

00:04:55.700 --> 00:04:56.300

vehicles.

88

00:04:58.860 --> 00:05:02.160

So if you know General Beaker Wickerd, he recently put out

89

00:05:02.220 --> 00:05:04.840

an article about Bayesian

90

00:05:05.740 --> 00:05:09.460

factors, and in that, he said flight testers are professional risk

91

00:05:09.480 --> 00:05:12.990

managers operating under uncertainty, and we've talked about that

92

00:05:13.000 --> 00:05:16.990

today. We operate in an environment where not everything is

93

00:05:17.000 --> 00:05:17.570

predictable,

94

00:05:18.580 --> 00:05:21.750

not everything is modeled, and not everything

95

00:05:22.780 --> 00:05:24.320
behaves as expected.

96

00:05:25.700 --> 00:05:29.620
Today, uncertainty increasingly comes with how systems

97

00:05:29.660 --> 00:05:30.220
interact,

98

00:05:31.080 --> 00:05:32.880
software, automation,

99

00:05:33.740 --> 00:05:34.540
and humans.

100

00:05:35.860 --> 00:05:39.700
And those interactions are harder to anticipate

101

00:05:39.770 --> 00:05:42.760
and harder to reason through in real time.

102

00:05:44.300 --> 00:05:48.240
And that difference matters because it changes how well

103

00:05:48.380 --> 00:05:51.540
traditional methods and tools work.

104

00:05:53.260 --> 00:05:55.960
I don't know where that is. Are we there? We're there. Okay.

105

00:05:59.620 --> 00:06:03.460
Okay. Now, flight test has always depended on

106

00:06:03.540 --> 00:06:07.270
human judgment. What's changed is how often

107

00:06:07.320 --> 00:06:11.000
that judgment is the limiting factor.

108

00:06:11.120 --> 00:06:14.920

Flight test is increasingly operating in a complex

109

00:06:14.960 --> 00:06:18.750

domain where cause and effect are not fully

110

00:06:18.860 --> 00:06:20.480

knowable in advance.

111

00:06:21.720 --> 00:06:25.440

Most of our traditional risk management methods were built for the

112

00:06:25.500 --> 00:06:26.700

complicated domain.

113

00:06:27.620 --> 00:06:31.380

They remain valuable, you've heard about some of those today, but they're no longer

114

00:06:31.420 --> 00:06:34.960

sufficient to fully characterize risk in the

115

00:06:35.040 --> 00:06:37.240

complex domain.

116

00:06:42.600 --> 00:06:46.530

Tom Curry talked about this yesterday with Bob Barton and

117

00:06:46.620 --> 00:06:50.250

Starr Hughes' paper, which I can provide a copy to those who are

118

00:06:50.300 --> 00:06:53.730

interested. And it comes from something called the

119

00:06:53.820 --> 00:06:54.520

Cynefin

120

00:06:55.940 --> 00:06:58.680

quadrants. Has anybody heard of the Cynefin framework?

121

00:07:00.040 --> 00:07:03.840

A few of you. Well, the Cynefin framework helps illustrate the difference

122

00:07:03.880 --> 00:07:07.200

between the complicated and the complex domains.

123

00:07:07.460 --> 00:07:10.300

In the complicated domain on the upper right,

124

00:07:11.080 --> 00:07:14.740

cause and effect relationships exist, even

125

00:07:14.780 --> 00:07:18.100

if statistical analysis is required.

126

00:07:18.880 --> 00:07:21.360

Traditional engineering methods work.

127

00:07:24.180 --> 00:07:27.860

However, in the complex domain, upper left,

128

00:07:29.060 --> 00:07:32.960

unknown unknowns and non-deterministic behaviors

129

00:07:33.040 --> 00:07:36.820

exist. Cause and effect may only become

130

00:07:36.880 --> 00:07:37.320

clear

131

00:07:38.140 --> 00:07:39.940

after the event has occurred.

132

00:07:42.700 --> 00:07:46.620

In the complex domain, there are four behaviors.

133

00:07:47.780 --> 00:07:51.710

Emergence, which are novel properties or behaviors that arise from

134

00:07:51.860 --> 00:07:55.720

simple interactions between individual components of

135

00:07:55.760 --> 00:07:56.860
that complex system.

136
00:07:58.000 --> 00:07:59.360
Can someone give me an example?

137
00:08:02.180 --> 00:08:05.980
Flutter, right? Flutter's one of those. Nonlinear behavior.

138
00:08:06.820 --> 00:08:09.660
Outputs are not proportional to inputs.

139
00:08:11.040 --> 00:08:15.030
And then sensitivity to change. Even small changes in the

140
00:08:15.080 --> 00:08:19.020
design of a complex system or a test maneuver

141
00:08:19.060 --> 00:08:22.690
can produce large differences and even novel differences,

142
00:08:23.000 --> 00:08:26.910
sometimes adverse, that are often experienced that

143
00:08:26.920 --> 00:08:29.800
totally you couldn't have thought of it ahead of time.

144
00:08:31.620 --> 00:08:32.980
Non-failure hazards

145
00:08:34.020 --> 00:08:36.220
is the final one, where all the elements

146
00:08:36.880 --> 00:08:40.740
function as designed. Can

147
00:08:40.780 --> 00:08:42.841
you think of one that fits that?

148
00:08:45.860 --> 00:08:46.300
Software.

149

00:08:47.200 --> 00:08:48.160

Software doesn't break.

150

00:08:49.020 --> 00:08:51.740

We just didn't anticipate the complex outcomes.

151

00:08:53.300 --> 00:08:57.020

So in the complex domain, the approach becomes

152

00:08:57.080 --> 00:08:57.540

probe,

153

00:08:58.600 --> 00:08:59.280

observe,

154

00:09:00.080 --> 00:09:00.680

and learn,

155

00:09:02.140 --> 00:09:05.820

which means discovery becomes as important as

156

00:09:05.830 --> 00:09:09.369

analysis. Flight testing is increasingly

157

00:09:09.440 --> 00:09:11.339

operating in this domain.

158

00:09:14.960 --> 00:09:18.000

Here's an example of how test philosophy is changing.

159

00:09:18.860 --> 00:09:22.640

Traditionally, learning occurs within a predicted framework.

160

00:09:23.540 --> 00:09:24.620

We build models.

161

00:09:25.820 --> 00:09:29.760

We define an envelope, and we validate that expected

162

00:09:29.840 --> 00:09:30.320
behavior.

163

00:09:31.760 --> 00:09:35.730
At Anduril, I recently had the opportunity to visit Anduril, and I was

164

00:09:35.760 --> 00:09:39.140
briefed on their approach, a different approach for their UAVs.

165

00:09:40.180 --> 00:09:44.000
Test becomes the primary means of discovering

166

00:09:44.010 --> 00:09:47.160
system behavior, not just validating it.

167

00:09:48.400 --> 00:09:51.100
And in some cases, hardware loss is acceptable.

168

00:09:52.220 --> 00:09:56.080
And that's just part of the learning. That's not traditional validation.

169

00:09:56.960 --> 00:09:59.760
It's system learning through experimentation.

170

00:10:00.840 --> 00:10:04.520
And approaches like this place greater demands on the

171

00:10:04.720 --> 00:10:06.940
judgment of the flight test team.

172

00:10:11.200 --> 00:10:14.160
These are the three pillars. We've seen them before.

173

00:10:14.180 --> 00:10:17.530
They define effective, safe, and efficient flight testing,

174

00:10:17.960 --> 00:10:21.380
relevant methods and tools, competent flight test

175

00:10:21.400 --> 00:10:22.100
professionals,

176

00:10:23.320 --> 00:10:26.420

and an organizational culture that prioritizes the other two

177

00:10:27.740 --> 00:10:28.130

pillars,

178

00:10:28.940 --> 00:10:32.700

and where flight testers are trusted

179

00:10:32.740 --> 00:10:34.940

and influential. We've heard about that today.

180

00:10:35.760 --> 00:10:39.710

What's changing is how much stress is being placed on each of

181

00:10:39.760 --> 00:10:43.640

these pillars in the complex systems, especially the

182

00:10:43.680 --> 00:10:46.940

people middle pillar. But I want to start with the methods pillar,

183

00:10:48.460 --> 00:10:49.900

because we've heard about that today.

184

00:10:54.580 --> 00:10:58.520

Now, traditional hazard analysis methods like the three shown here,

185

00:10:58.960 --> 00:11:02.840

failure modes effects analysis, the risk matrix,

186

00:11:03.430 --> 00:11:06.990

and the test hazard analysis are extremely valuable,

187

00:11:07.800 --> 00:11:11.420

especially for what is understood or deterministic.

188

00:11:12.220 --> 00:11:15.540

But they struggle to discover interaction in

189

00:11:15.580 --> 00:11:17.080
interaction-driven hazards.

190
00:11:17.980 --> 00:11:21.660
Modern complex systems often fail

191
00:11:21.700 --> 00:11:23.020
through interactions

192
00:11:24.080 --> 00:11:27.750
between software modules, between humans and

193
00:11:27.800 --> 00:11:28.480
automation,

194
00:11:29.340 --> 00:11:32.250
and between subsystems behaving correctly

195
00:11:33.240 --> 00:11:35.580
but interfacing unexpectedly.

196
00:11:37.100 --> 00:11:40.800
These methods shown here, including maybe the fourth method at the

197
00:11:40.900 --> 00:11:42.840
top is us getting together as a group,

198
00:11:43.960 --> 00:11:47.880
are not designed well or they're certainly not optimized

199
00:11:47.920 --> 00:11:49.560
for complex system behavior.

200
00:11:53.280 --> 00:11:57.180
As a result, we have new methods that have been developed or are
emerging.

201
00:11:57.960 --> 00:12:01.820
System theoretic approaches like STPA, which Dunes talked about

202
00:12:01.880 --> 00:12:04.884

yesterday.Knowledge boundary

203

00:12:04.944 --> 00:12:08.384

methods that monitor system excursions

204

00:12:08.444 --> 00:12:12.294

from what you've known using multiple models, not

205

00:12:12.344 --> 00:12:16.004

just individual components like alpha, beta, or a

206

00:12:16.064 --> 00:12:16.544

stress.

207

00:12:17.984 --> 00:12:19.844

And then model-based test engineering.

208

00:12:20.524 --> 00:12:23.794

And finally, AI-assisted analysis, including

209

00:12:23.794 --> 00:12:26.304

tools employed during test

210

00:12:26.424 --> 00:12:30.144

execution. At a recent SFT symposium, we

211

00:12:30.184 --> 00:12:33.104

heard from a U.S. Air Force team that used AI

212

00:12:33.684 --> 00:12:37.544

to near real-time generate empirical test

213

00:12:37.584 --> 00:12:41.424

models as the data came in. It allowed them to reduce the

214

00:12:41.444 --> 00:12:45.404

number of test points by about 10%, which paid for

215

00:12:45.444 --> 00:12:46.904

the development of that tool.

216

00:12:48.184 --> 00:12:51.764

Now, all of these help us understand interactions,

217

00:12:52.204 --> 00:12:53.764

not just component behavior.

218

00:12:54.584 --> 00:12:55.724

And wait, there's more.

219

00:13:00.244 --> 00:13:03.964

The Air Force Flight Test Center for the last two years has been developing

220

00:13:03.974 --> 00:13:07.184

something called AFTA, and it's a large

221

00:13:07.264 --> 00:13:11.224

language model program, and you were introduced to one for the flight test

222

00:13:11.264 --> 00:13:11.674

safety

223

00:13:13.184 --> 00:13:16.464

database as well. It uses a retrieval

224

00:13:16.604 --> 00:13:20.064

augmentation generator, a RAG, to only

225

00:13:20.524 --> 00:13:23.484

take those documents that are

226

00:13:23.544 --> 00:13:26.124

applicable to the subject at large.

227

00:13:27.004 --> 00:13:30.984

And initial trials have shown improvements in risk

228

00:13:31.064 --> 00:13:32.724

identification, and it

229

00:13:33.644 --> 00:13:37.234

can create THAs, it can create test plans, test cards,

230

00:13:37.544 --> 00:13:39.884

and now the latest version has workflow.

231

00:13:40.964 --> 00:13:44.884

And if you're interested in seeing this, I've given demonstrations about this

232

00:13:44.984 --> 00:13:48.424

at previous talks. I'm happy to show that to you.

233

00:13:48.484 --> 00:13:52.444

It's public domain. They have a public domain outside their firewall, which

234

00:13:52.504 --> 00:13:54.624

you can go experiment and play with.

235

00:13:56.124 --> 00:13:59.904

Now, the large language models, as been discussed, they

236

00:13:59.984 --> 00:14:03.884

augment our analytical capabilities.

237

00:14:03.944 --> 00:14:07.764

They're great assistants, like an invaluable new

238

00:14:07.784 --> 00:14:11.684

member of the test team. They can provide insights we might not

239

00:14:11.724 --> 00:14:15.604

have thought about, new perspectives, and they can also help us

240

00:14:15.664 --> 00:14:16.364

work faster,

241

00:14:17.564 --> 00:14:20.584

but they're incapable of accountability.

242

00:14:25.784 --> 00:14:29.724

And what's coming even next is agentic agents.

243

00:14:30.044 --> 00:14:33.454

Beyond large language models, these tools are

244

00:14:33.504 --> 00:14:37.344

emerging. They extend our analytical capability,

245

00:14:38.124 --> 00:14:41.404

and they speed up our processes even more.

246

00:14:41.833 --> 00:14:45.704

And agentic agents are going on some of the autonomous aircraft that are

247

00:14:45.764 --> 00:14:46.724

now being tested.

248

00:14:47.944 --> 00:14:50.074

But they still don't replace human judgment.

249

00:14:50.814 --> 00:14:54.804

The effectiveness of any method, STPA, model-based

250

00:14:54.844 --> 00:14:57.004

test engineering, or AI,

251

00:14:57.904 --> 00:15:01.624

still depends on the capability of the test professionals who

252

00:15:01.644 --> 00:15:02.184

employ it,

253

00:15:03.724 --> 00:15:05.604

which brings us to the people dimension.

254

00:15:06.884 --> 00:15:10.794

Given the complexity, the system interaction challenges, and

255

00:15:10.844 --> 00:15:14.734

these emerging tools, it's more important than ever that

256

00:15:14.864 --> 00:15:18.494

all flight testers receive appropriate training and

257

00:15:18.584 --> 00:15:19.604

professional development.

258

00:15:20.864 --> 00:15:21.844

And to achieve that,

259

00:15:22.864 --> 00:15:24.924

get what I call the four C's.

260

00:15:31.644 --> 00:15:32.664

All right. The four C's,

261

00:15:33.484 --> 00:15:34.064

competence,

262

00:15:34.864 --> 00:15:35.544

confidence,

263

00:15:36.424 --> 00:15:37.564

courage, and commitment.

264

00:15:38.824 --> 00:15:42.204

Right. Competence comes from formal education,

265

00:15:43.504 --> 00:15:44.024

training,

266

00:15:44.884 --> 00:15:45.784

and experience.

267

00:15:48.104 --> 00:15:51.904

Courage comes from practicing your trade

268

00:15:52.304 --> 00:15:54.824

successfully under supervision.

269

00:15:57.044 --> 00:15:58.344
I said confidence does that, yeah.

270

00:15:58.604 --> 00:16:00.554
Courage comes from the

271

00:16:01.444 --> 00:16:02.084
decision,

272

00:16:03.194 --> 00:16:06.784
the ethical decision to make data-driven judgments

273

00:16:07.684 --> 00:16:11.624
when even when you're under pressure, and Kevin told us about some of the kind

274

00:16:11.664 --> 00:16:12.864
of pressures you can be under.

275

00:16:13.764 --> 00:16:16.204
And finally, commitment comes from the shared belief

276

00:16:17.404 --> 00:16:20.724
in continuous professional improvement and peer

277

00:16:20.784 --> 00:16:23.404
accountability. We've heard about all these today.

278

00:16:24.404 --> 00:16:27.884
Together, these qualities define the professional ethos

279

00:16:28.384 --> 00:16:29.894
of the ideal flight tester,

280

00:16:30.824 --> 00:16:34.084
and they shape culture. To

281

00:16:34.584 --> 00:16:38.504
develop these attributes, organizations must employ

282

00:16:39.484 --> 00:16:42.904
professional ethos of an ideal flight tester. I'm sorry.

283
00:16:43.424 --> 00:16:45.644
Must employ professional ethos of an

284
00:16:46.484 --> 00:16:49.024
ideal tester, and to develop these attributes,

285
00:16:49.894 --> 00:16:51.904
we must have ongoing professional development.

286
00:16:53.424 --> 00:16:57.344
Now, here's the key word. Inconsistency in these attributes in flight

287
00:16:57.404 --> 00:16:57.824
test

288
00:16:59.304 --> 00:17:00.184
is a risk driver.

289
00:17:02.384 --> 00:17:04.004
So here's the challenge that I've seen.

290
00:17:06.424 --> 00:17:07.224
Our community

291
00:17:08.805 --> 00:17:09.344
faces is

292
00:17:11.464 --> 00:17:15.085
that this is our challenge. FTE training standards and

293
00:17:15.144 --> 00:17:18.325
development vary widely across the industry.

294
00:17:18.504 --> 00:17:21.664
I've traveled to Europe, South America,

295
00:17:22.164 --> 00:17:25.364
across the U.S. visiting chapters and organizations.

296

00:17:25.624 --> 00:17:29.444

There's some great organizations, but there's ones where FTEs are

297

00:17:29.484 --> 00:17:29.904

dying

298

00:17:31.164 --> 00:17:34.944

for more training and some where they just don't have what they need.

299

00:17:35.884 --> 00:17:39.204

That's the challenge. This variability leads to

300

00:17:39.284 --> 00:17:41.764

inconsistent levels of analytical capability,

301

00:17:42.864 --> 00:17:46.824

operational awareness, and safety judgment, and it's a risk

302

00:17:46.884 --> 00:17:47.324

driver.

303

00:17:48.564 --> 00:17:52.444

The SFT recommended practices is an initiative, an

304

00:17:52.484 --> 00:17:54.304

attempt to address that gap.

305

00:17:55.524 --> 00:17:59.094

Recommended practices defines organizational

306

00:17:59.584 --> 00:18:00.244

competency,

307

00:18:01.364 --> 00:18:02.364

career progression,

308

00:18:03.404 --> 00:18:05.304

and professional expectations

309

00:18:06.164 --> 00:18:07.444
to encompass the four

310
00:18:07.524 --> 00:18:13.934
C's.The

311
00:18:13.994 --> 00:18:17.894
goal of a recommended practices is to define holistic

312
00:18:18.014 --> 00:18:21.944
FTE competency frameworks that include all slices in this

313
00:18:21.974 --> 00:18:25.874
pie. Yes, we have academics, but it's academics with

314
00:18:25.954 --> 00:18:29.874
evaluations. We have on-the-job training, but it

315
00:18:29.954 --> 00:18:31.754
also includes formal mentoring

316
00:18:32.694 --> 00:18:33.014
and

317
00:18:34.054 --> 00:18:34.734
currency.

318
00:18:36.234 --> 00:18:38.514
One that sometimes is missing is airmanship

319
00:18:39.374 --> 00:18:43.094
as a mandatory aspect, and there's many ways to get airmanship

320
00:18:43.174 --> 00:18:46.914
acumen. And of course, the final one is ongoing professional

321
00:18:46.974 --> 00:18:47.554
development.

322
00:18:51.494 --> 00:18:51.654
Now,

323

00:18:53.554 --> 00:18:56.514

what I'm saying here is not something that's regulatory.

324

00:18:56.854 --> 00:18:59.054

They're voluntary from the organizations.

325

00:18:59.854 --> 00:19:03.654

But within that organization, they're mandatory for the FTEs.

326

00:19:08.774 --> 00:19:11.854

To be recognized by SFTE as an

327

00:19:11.894 --> 00:19:15.864

organization with our recommended practices,

328

00:19:16.354 --> 00:19:19.724

they must have a documented career progression plan from

329

00:19:19.754 --> 00:19:23.194

novice to practitioner to leader to mentor

330

00:19:23.854 --> 00:19:27.584

that accommodates each flight test engineer's background, including

331

00:19:27.654 --> 00:19:30.514

ongoing competency evaluations.

332

00:19:30.574 --> 00:19:33.914

The idea is similar to other professions, teachers,

333

00:19:34.554 --> 00:19:37.554

doctors, lawyers, and pilots.

334

00:19:38.414 --> 00:19:40.514

Again, the goal is not regulation.

335

00:19:40.754 --> 00:19:44.394

It's professional consistency that meets the

336

00:19:44.474 --> 00:19:45.834
organizational standards.

337
00:19:50.934 --> 00:19:52.004
So our strategy

338
00:19:52.954 --> 00:19:56.474
is to advocate for it, which is what I'm doing here today, and it's to

339
00:19:56.514 --> 00:19:59.574
recognize organizations that possess strong

340
00:19:59.654 --> 00:20:01.664
training and development,

341
00:20:02.514 --> 00:20:06.404
and provide incentives for other organizations to do the same,

342
00:20:06.824 --> 00:20:10.714
and promote those organizations as model places for flight

343
00:20:10.774 --> 00:20:11.894
test engineers to work.

344
00:20:13.474 --> 00:20:17.324
And fortunately, a little bit of a push and encouragement, we're

345
00:20:17.414 --> 00:20:21.014
also working to get regulatory endorsement and

346
00:20:21.074 --> 00:20:21.824
working with

347
00:20:23.194 --> 00:20:24.674
Addison Tower and the FAA.

348
00:20:25.614 --> 00:20:28.804
In the next version of 404026,

349
00:20:29.594 --> 00:20:33.324
recommended practices will be noted as a best practice, which could

350

00:20:33.354 --> 00:20:37.274

encourage organizations. I was also contacted when I was in the

351

00:20:37.354 --> 00:20:41.254

UK by the MOD. They like this idea to

352

00:20:41.654 --> 00:20:45.394

augment their Article 2370, their test and evaluation

353

00:20:45.434 --> 00:20:49.224

guidelines. They want this as a best practice that's a little bit more

354

00:20:49.354 --> 00:20:50.604

flexible that

355

00:20:51.594 --> 00:20:55.214

organizations can say, "We are training correctly."

356

00:20:55.314 --> 00:20:58.104

So hopefully we get more of this

357

00:20:58.114 --> 00:21:01.604

regulatory endorsement. Again, it's not

358

00:21:01.634 --> 00:21:05.034

regulation, but it's acknowledgment that it's good for

359

00:21:05.054 --> 00:21:06.834

organizations to have standards.

360

00:21:07.874 --> 00:21:11.054

And then most importantly, at the end, the key is

361

00:21:11.134 --> 00:21:14.554

integration with the Flight Test Training and Education Council.

362

00:21:14.634 --> 00:21:17.054

How many know about FTTEC?

363

00:21:18.894 --> 00:21:21.094
Not enough. So,

364
00:21:21.974 --> 00:21:23.494
I'm going to talk about that a little bit.

365
00:21:25.854 --> 00:21:26.474
Okay, so

366
00:21:27.514 --> 00:21:31.334
FTTEC is a global collaboration of flight test volunteers from

367
00:21:31.434 --> 00:21:34.994
industry, government, and academia. It started about two years ago.

368
00:21:35.134 --> 00:21:35.994
Pat Hutchinson

369
00:21:36.954 --> 00:21:40.814
briefed it at SETP, and we have hosted

370
00:21:40.894 --> 00:21:43.934
it on SFTE, and we work with Stu Chia.

371
00:21:44.414 --> 00:21:48.274
Kevin is here as well. He's helped develop some of the curriculum

372
00:21:48.314 --> 00:21:52.094
for this. The idea is to develop and share training

373
00:21:52.154 --> 00:21:55.854
resources across the flight test industry that are

374
00:21:55.914 --> 00:21:59.134
shareable. And if recommended

375
00:21:59.254 --> 00:22:02.794
practices provides the framework, then

376
00:22:02.914 --> 00:22:06.034
FTTEC provides the resources for

377

00:22:06.094 --> 00:22:08.974
organizations to get some of this training.

378

00:22:09.014 --> 00:22:12.794
And if you go to the website, you'll see some

379

00:22:12.954 --> 00:22:15.234
public courses are coming online soon.

380

00:22:15.594 --> 00:22:19.334
You will see reference material, and not only the

381

00:22:19.394 --> 00:22:22.774
test pilot schools, but several other third-party

382

00:22:22.834 --> 00:22:25.434
opportunities to get formal training.

383

00:22:28.034 --> 00:22:31.914
I believe recommended practices is the most transformational initiative

384

00:22:32.054 --> 00:22:33.774
SFT is undergoing right now.

385

00:22:35.174 --> 00:22:38.114
But it's not a panacea. Everybody here

386

00:22:38.914 --> 00:22:41.194
gets it, and I need you

387

00:22:42.034 --> 00:22:45.834
to take it back to your organizations, to your clients, and

388

00:22:45.874 --> 00:22:46.714
help them get it.

389

00:22:48.134 --> 00:22:51.934
Together, we can do this because it's a cultural

390

00:22:52.074 --> 00:22:55.674
shift. It's not a financial problem. It's a cultural problem.

391
00:22:57.474 --> 00:23:01.034
But as you would all agree, the rewards can be profound.

392
00:23:03.754 --> 00:23:04.114
Okay.

393
00:23:05.074 --> 00:23:05.254
So

394
00:23:06.114 --> 00:23:08.694
there's the challenge, the go-to, the action item.

395
00:23:10.154 --> 00:23:13.714
Assess your organization's FTE development approach.

396
00:23:14.494 --> 00:23:16.134
Identify gaps in the training,

397
00:23:17.194 --> 00:23:18.754
progression, and evaluation.

398
00:23:19.854 --> 00:23:23.774
Go online to sft.org, go down to resources, look

399
00:23:23.994 --> 00:23:27.934
under recommended practices, look at the rubric, and decide where

400
00:23:27.974 --> 00:23:28.934
you may have the gaps.

401
00:23:29.894 --> 00:23:31.934
Decide if you want to apply for recognition.

402
00:23:32.934 --> 00:23:35.954
Last year when we kicked off this program, our inaugural

403
00:23:36.554 --> 00:23:40.274
organization was the 412th Test Wing at Edwards Air Force Base.

404

00:23:40.894 --> 00:23:41.914

And then this last

405

00:23:43.294 --> 00:23:47.174

November, we recognized Gulfstream, and not for public

406

00:23:47.214 --> 00:23:51.114

dissemination, but at the June SFT European

407

00:23:51.234 --> 00:23:53.754

conference, I will be announcing the DLR.

408

00:23:54.634 --> 00:23:58.474

We have four other organizations that I know that

409

00:23:58.534 --> 00:24:00.234

have their applications in work,

410

00:24:01.074 --> 00:24:04.994

but I want more. My goal is by 2028

411

00:24:05.004 --> 00:24:08.954

to have 12 of these, and if we

412

00:24:08.974 --> 00:24:12.924

get to 12, and we have a critical mass to tell everybody else, "You need to do

413

00:24:12.954 --> 00:24:13.174

that,

414

00:24:13.214 --> 00:24:18.162

too."Okay.

415

00:24:18.942 --> 00:24:21.122

So technology's going to continue to change.

416

00:24:21.902 --> 00:24:24.662

Flight testing's going to continue to evolve.

417

00:24:25.522 --> 00:24:29.122

Software will grow more complex. Automation and

418

00:24:29.182 --> 00:24:30.782

AI will expand,

419

00:24:31.602 --> 00:24:33.961

and new analytical tools will evolve.

420

00:24:35.662 --> 00:24:39.562

But the central challenge remains human performance and judgment.

421

00:24:40.412 --> 00:24:41.352

The question is:

422

00:24:42.342 --> 00:24:46.322

Are we adequately developing and maintaining our test

423

00:24:46.402 --> 00:24:48.602

professionals? Because in the end,

424

00:24:49.522 --> 00:24:51.042

the better prepared they are,

425

00:24:51.902 --> 00:24:55.442

the safer and more effective all our programs, and for those who are in
the

426

00:24:55.482 --> 00:24:59.042

aircraft, their pink bodies will be. Thank you very much.

427

00:25:10.942 --> 00:25:11.342

All right.

428

00:25:13.402 --> 00:25:14.382

Thank you very much.

429

00:25:15.882 --> 00:25:16.302

So,

430

00:25:18.122 --> 00:25:20.542

I see some questions coming from the left here

431

00:25:21.542 --> 00:25:21.882

already.

432

00:25:28.282 --> 00:25:32.002

Thank you for the presentation, Jeff, and I appreciate it when anybody

433

00:25:32.012 --> 00:25:35.542

advocates for FTEs to be more than just self-loading ballast, so

434

00:25:36.342 --> 00:25:37.002

thank you for that.

435

00:25:38.182 --> 00:25:42.172

I noticed a couple places in your presentation where you stress the

436

00:25:42.282 --> 00:25:44.882

fact that this is not regulatory,

437

00:25:46.102 --> 00:25:49.702

no regulations, just recommended practices.

438

00:25:51.902 --> 00:25:55.862

Given the roles that FTE plays in flight test and

439

00:25:55.902 --> 00:25:56.462

how critical

440

00:25:57.342 --> 00:25:57.882

we think it is,

441

00:25:59.522 --> 00:26:03.422

and just like you said, there are levels to it from novice to practitioner to

442

00:26:03.502 --> 00:26:04.482

leader to mentor.

443

00:26:06.142 --> 00:26:07.342

What is your view on

444

00:26:08.782 --> 00:26:11.862
actually including regulatory

445

00:26:12.402 --> 00:26:16.302
organization in that? And just like we treat pilots and doctors and

446

00:26:16.322 --> 00:26:19.632
nurses and everybody else, FTE can have that status as

447

00:26:20.442 --> 00:26:23.682
with a certificate or some other way.

448

00:26:23.962 --> 00:26:24.982
What do you think of that?

449

00:26:26.122 --> 00:26:26.302
So,

450

00:26:27.162 --> 00:26:29.142
at the Trieste

451

00:26:30.102 --> 00:26:33.562
in Italy, the conference, we talked about how we came up with calling it

452

00:26:33.822 --> 00:26:36.162
best practices instead of regulation.

453

00:26:36.222 --> 00:26:39.802
We looked at EASA that does regulate its flight test

454

00:26:39.822 --> 00:26:42.852
engineers. We looked at the Royal Aeronautical Society,

455

00:26:43.782 --> 00:26:47.602
and there's two reasons we didn't go that way.

456

00:26:47.622 --> 00:26:48.622
First and foremost,

457

00:26:49.442 --> 00:26:52.322

the experience of EASA is that it's inflexible.

458

00:26:52.362 --> 00:26:53.082

It doesn't really

459

00:26:55.182 --> 00:26:58.502

make sure that flight test engineers are fully qualified.

460

00:26:58.522 --> 00:27:02.502

It doesn't always meet what is necessary to be

461

00:27:02.562 --> 00:27:06.282

a competent, capable professional, and it's inflexible.

462

00:27:06.962 --> 00:27:10.542

The Royal Aeronautical Society has a comprehensive

463

00:27:10.582 --> 00:27:11.122

program,

464

00:27:12.002 --> 00:27:15.522

but SFT, at its current level, doesn't have the

465

00:27:16.942 --> 00:27:20.062

bandwidth to make it regulatory. So

466

00:27:21.402 --> 00:27:25.002

I think our approach was a pragmatic one, that we

467

00:27:25.082 --> 00:27:28.502

want a grassroots effort from everyone

468

00:27:28.562 --> 00:27:32.482

here to start the process. If we get enough critical

469

00:27:32.582 --> 00:27:35.922

mass, if we get help from the MOD in the UK,

470

00:27:36.662 --> 00:27:40.642

and the FAA just saying, "This is a good idea," maybe someday there

471

00:27:40.682 --> 00:27:44.482

will be one. But we don't want to take away

472

00:27:44.602 --> 00:27:48.462

the flexibility for companies who have very different

473

00:27:48.522 --> 00:27:51.562

vehicles and processes. Does that answer your question?

474

00:27:52.002 --> 00:27:52.702

Yes, sir. Thank you.

475

00:27:52.742 --> 00:27:52.942

Okay.

476

00:27:55.322 --> 00:27:55.332

Yeah.

477

00:27:57.582 --> 00:27:58.062

Another one.

478

00:28:02.302 --> 00:28:06.282

I was thinking about it. It relates to the

479

00:28:06.322 --> 00:28:10.002

first part of your presentation when you were mentioning a

480

00:28:10.142 --> 00:28:12.582

complex system, which is actually

481

00:28:14.122 --> 00:28:15.762

more and more where we are operating.

482

00:28:16.562 --> 00:28:16.782

How

483

00:28:18.562 --> 00:28:20.242

can we make sure that

484

00:28:22.682 --> 00:28:26.332
our testing is sufficient in the case of

485

00:28:26.442 --> 00:28:30.402
complex system? I have sometimes the feeling that we are making some

486

00:28:30.522 --> 00:28:33.962
samples testing, and how can we make sure that at the end

487

00:28:34.602 --> 00:28:37.241
it's been really proven by testing?

488

00:28:38.142 --> 00:28:38.342
All right.

489

00:28:39.282 --> 00:28:43.162
The question is, how can we ensure that in a complex system,

490

00:28:43.222 --> 00:28:44.362
the testing is adequate?

491

00:28:45.262 --> 00:28:48.382
So the short answer is, I don't have an answer.

492

00:28:48.402 --> 00:28:52.192
But I also will say that these

493

00:28:52.242 --> 00:28:55.972
new tools we're talking about that deal with non-deterministic complex

494

00:28:56.062 --> 00:28:59.482
systems, like STPA is one,

495

00:29:00.262 --> 00:29:03.652
it will go out and maybe find some things that we might not have thought

496

00:29:03.742 --> 00:29:04.702
about.

497

00:29:05.922 --> 00:29:06.662

But it's hard.

498

00:29:09.222 --> 00:29:13.082

General Beeker Wickerd put out his Becoming Bayesian

499

00:29:13.102 --> 00:29:16.082

paper. How many people read that? It came out last September.

500

00:29:16.762 --> 00:29:20.702

That's a mind-meld paper. But he

501

00:29:20.742 --> 00:29:24.631

talks about he's dealing with uncertainty, and when's enough enough, and

502

00:29:24.682 --> 00:29:28.502

how to make the decision. So the question is

503

00:29:28.562 --> 00:29:32.362

really relevant. How do you test all these AI systems coming on

504

00:29:32.382 --> 00:29:36.142

board? What's enough? We have to do more research.

505

00:29:36.642 --> 00:29:39.522

I would recommend reading General Wickerd's paper.

506

00:29:39.922 --> 00:29:42.242

I would recommend investigating STPA,

507

00:29:43.322 --> 00:29:43.522

and

508

00:29:44.782 --> 00:29:48.442

maybe model-based test engineering, but we don't have a

509

00:29:48.502 --> 00:29:50.682

complete, adequate answer.

510

00:29:55.682 --> 00:29:55.862

Yep.

511

00:29:57.242 --> 00:29:58.622

You want to grab the mic?

512

00:30:00.782 --> 00:30:04.042

Sure. So back on your question about

513

00:30:06.302 --> 00:30:08.842

organizations volunteering as part of this

514

00:30:10.542 --> 00:30:14.442

training process or standard. For companies like

515

00:30:14.462 --> 00:30:17.982

Boeing or other companies that have a wide range of flight test

516

00:30:18.042 --> 00:30:20.442

engineers and a training program

517

00:30:20.482 --> 00:30:24.390

withinI could see myself proposing

518

00:30:24.450 --> 00:30:28.420

to my leadership, "Hey, there's this thing that SFTE is

519

00:30:28.450 --> 00:30:32.390

doing. I think it's cool." And I know the response is going to be, "Well,

520

00:30:32.430 --> 00:30:36.130

what's the business case? What's the business case for the company

521

00:30:36.190 --> 00:30:40.150

to spend the extra effort to get them to apply and get

522

00:30:40.190 --> 00:30:41.830

this standard?" So I guess,

523

00:30:42.710 --> 00:30:46.680

I'd love to hear your thoughts on what would you say is the business case for a

524

00:30:47.190 --> 00:30:49.310
company to step forward, step up to this?

525
00:30:49.850 --> 00:30:53.650
It's a great question. I didn't have time to put it in here, but I have,
what's the

526
00:30:53.690 --> 00:30:56.900
business case? When I went out to the 412 test wing,

527
00:30:57.690 --> 00:30:59.290
they said, "Jeff, we do all this stuff.

528
00:30:59.460 --> 00:31:01.570
We have your training processes we put in place.

529
00:31:01.630 --> 00:31:03.690
Why do we need to do this?" And I said, "Well,

530
00:31:04.610 --> 00:31:08.390
first of all, if you model it, maybe some of your

531
00:31:08.470 --> 00:31:12.350
DoD contractors, you may not make it contractual yet, but you

532
00:31:12.390 --> 00:31:16.370
can at least say you ought to adhere to it." The other thing you can say
is, "We're

533
00:31:16.490 --> 00:31:18.490
a good place to work," and you can

534
00:31:18.550 --> 00:31:22.230
recruit and retain.

535
00:31:22.670 --> 00:31:26.270
And when we talked to Gulfstream, they said, "When we started telling

536
00:31:26.669 --> 00:31:30.460
our FTE applicants what we would do for

537

00:31:30.510 --> 00:31:33.620
them, we were able to recruit better." So the answer is

538
00:31:34.550 --> 00:31:37.770
recruitment and retention are two factors,

539
00:31:38.110 --> 00:31:41.870
especially if SFTE holds you up as

540
00:31:42.330 --> 00:31:43.190
an organization.

541
00:31:44.330 --> 00:31:47.790
You can also look and say, "And look,

542
00:31:48.250 --> 00:31:51.890
it makes sense. The regulatory agency

543
00:31:51.930 --> 00:31:54.290
recognizes it as a best practice." So it's there.

544
00:31:55.310 --> 00:31:56.410
Beyond that,

545
00:31:57.590 --> 00:32:00.950
it's going to take the same kind of advocacy that we've had to do for
other

546
00:32:01.010 --> 00:32:01.950
cultural events.

547
00:32:02.810 --> 00:32:04.460
While we're at it, at Boeing,

548
00:32:05.490 --> 00:32:09.030
recommended practices doesn't have to be the entire Boeing

549
00:32:09.110 --> 00:32:12.990
company. It can be an organization within Boeing that applies for

550
00:32:13.000 --> 00:32:16.850

it. The way we've structured is you can say, "This is the group we're applying for,

551

00:32:17.120 --> 00:32:20.950

this is the process we use," and if, for example,

552

00:32:21.330 --> 00:32:23.710

Boeing doesn't do that all over, that's okay.

553

00:32:24.670 --> 00:32:26.550

Thanks.

554

00:32:26.670 --> 00:32:26.890

Yeah.

555

00:32:27.450 --> 00:32:27.770

Thanks.

556

00:32:29.670 --> 00:32:30.129

All right.

557

00:32:31.270 --> 00:32:33.970

We're just right on time. Thanks again, Jeff.

558

00:32:34.010 --> 00:32:35.330

Yep. Thank you.